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**Reviewer’s report:**

The paper contains some relevant information to the audience out there. It however requires some revision to make it publishable.

The following suggestions are made for consideration of the authors:

1. Please revise the title to portray the qualitative nature of the paper
2. Line 42 - "woman" should have been "women"
3. Line 47 - revise the sentence to enhance its clarity
4. Line 59 - "child" should have been "children"
5. Lines 62 & 63 - in addition to the statement, explain the importance of the reduction in the text
6. Line 67 - instead of "adolescent girls", will suggest "female adolescents" and please be consistent with the use of one or the other.
7. Lines 87 & 88 - you refer to "women" - are these the same "adolescent girls" or they are another group. Please be consistent with the use of expressions
8. Lines 95 & beyond - there will be the need to revise the methods section to be more "methodological" - starting with study design, study sites, study population, study sample, study sample and sampling approach, data collection techniques/methods and tools, data analysis, etc. It will be best to state each of the sub-sections and briefly but clearly describe them. Also provide some information on ethical considerations and the ethical approval number
9. Lines 107 - 111 - could you provide the reasons in the text for initially using "IDIs" and later "FGDs" on the same sample. That would be helpful.
10. Lines 127 & beyond - this should be part of the results section
11. Line 136 - Is Malterud the author of this strategy? He performed a review of the method - wondering if that makes him the "owner" of the strategy. Secondly, explain in the text why and how you applied this strategy to your analysis instead of others
12. Lines 144 - 145 - how was the concept of triangulation used in your analysis?

13. Lines 146 - 147 - to my understanding, "methods of data collection" should be based on their appropriateness to address the "study objectives" and not for the sake of "validity" - please clarify.

14. Lines 147 - 150 - please revise the statements to make clearer.

15. Line 157 - the first category seems to be so diverse... would suggest fragmenting this further... "health and illness" could be a category and the others fit into others. Also would it not be in the right direction to provide "overviews" of the "main categories" before discussing the "sub-categories"?

16. Line 177 - "insisting me to work" could have been "insisting on me working"

17. Line 193 - "they insisted me to have a baby" should have been "they insisted on me having a baby" instead.

18. Line 474 & beyond - The discussion section should be orderly and follow in the stead of the themes identified.

19. Please read over the write-up and correct some grammatical errors in the script.
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