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This important cross-sectional descriptive study identifies factors that will better help to identify women with GDM who do not return for postpartum glucose testing. Identifying factors that place these women at higher risk for not following through with this recommendation will enable clinicians to target interventions to increase compliance. This study was executed well, and provides some interesting insights into how to address this problem. My only suggestion would be to obtain some assistance with grammar and syntax by a native English speaker. While overall, the English is very good, there are a few instances where things are not stated correctly, e.g., on page 13, line 9, it states "had a good glycemic control during their pregnancy." (there should not be "a" between "had" and "good" - it should read, "had good glycemic control during their pregnancy".
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**Are the conclusions drawn adequately supported by the data shown?**  
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