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PEER REVIEWER COMMENTS: To view the full report from the academic peer reviewer, please see the attached file.

REVIEWER COMMENTS FROM REPORT: There are significant pitfalls in the design and presentation of this study. The numbers are large, but the methods are reported inadequately.

REQUESTED REVISIONS:
Design: there is no description of how patient allocation happened. Since this was not a randomized study, no explanation is given on why women had different patterns of fasting. This is an important source of bias.

Design: no definition of vomiting is provided. It is not explained how this information was obtained from clinical notes. The time frame of vomiting is not defined (did the authors include vomiting during surgery, the first 6h, the first 24h or what else?).

Execution: logistic regression analysis was only performed for hypoglycemia and low-pH. Why? Why were other outcomes not investigated.

Execution: Apgar scores at 2 and 3 minutes are of little clinical value. Apgar score at 5 minutes is more relevant clinically, and is usually reported in research. The Apgar score is typically a non-normally distributed variable: it cannot be reported with decimals, nor expressed as mean +/- SD.

Interpretation: the authors fail to address the issue of bias deriving from group allocation.
Are the methods appropriate and well described?  
If not, please specify what is required in your comments to the authors.  

No

Does the work include the necessary controls?  
If not, please specify which controls are required in your comments to the authors.  

No

Are the conclusions drawn adequately supported by the data shown?  
If not, please explain in your comments to the authors.  

No

Are you able to assess any statistics in the manuscript or would you recommend an additional statistical review?  
If an additional statistical review is recommended, please specify what aspects require further assessment in your comments to the editors.  

Not relevant to this manuscript

Quality of written English  
Please indicate the quality of language in the manuscript:

Needs some language corrections before being published
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