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Reviewer's report:

It is a very interesting study about real life evaluation of fetal weight. Few points need however to be addressed:

- the number of midwives assessing the fetal weight. The authors indicate that they have the same professional experience than other physicians and residents. This element is still to be clarified: number of midwives, mean age in activity...

- Gestational diabetes is reported in 5 % of patients. This deserves further statistical evaluation for fetal weight estimation

- Statistical presentation is somehow simplistic and would benefit from more advanced graphics.

- The weight of prior babies could have been asked by the midwife or the physician, and this would constitute an eventual bias.

- Disclosure of the fetal estimation by the patient itself is a possibility that has not been pointed out by the authors as a bias.

Are the methods appropriate and well described?
If not, please specify what is required in your comments to the authors.
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Does the work include the necessary controls?
If not, please specify which controls are required in your comments to the authors.
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Are the conclusions drawn adequately supported by the data shown?
If not, please explain in your comments to the authors.
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Are you able to assess any statistics in the manuscript or would you recommend an additional statistical review?
If an additional statistical review is recommended, please specify what aspects require further assessment in your comments to the editors.
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