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Reviewer’s report:

Dear Authors: I congratulate for your work, but I need to mark some points: 1) Not all placental invasions are the same, so possibility of bleeding and involved pedicles are different, 2) It is necessary that you describe a exact position of invasive placenta, specially to evaluate pedicles involved and efficacy of used methods, 3) Bilateral uterine ligation is not an accurate method to stop bleeding from invasive placenta, since most of them are subperitoneal, area not irrigated by uterine artery, 4) Bakri balloon produce 300 mmHG of pressure over tissue, when myometrium is infiltrated, it is broken with this pressure. In some previous experiences was demonstrated that it could work only in body invasions, please clarify this point, because it will be cause of misunderstanding by readers. 5) There is not explained why lip inversion is a hemostatic method? there is an extensive references about the colpouterine blood supply but it is not quoted. If there is a hemostatic method, it must to occlude some vessels, but it is not specified. 6) Some parts of the text are not related to the hemostatic methods and explain details about preoperative management, that are not appropriate for this paper, 7) Some considerations about iliac internal control are outdated and were properly clarify by prospective works, even by Egyptian authors. 8) Quotation is poor and probably explain why some aspect of vascular control were omitted.

Best regards,

The reviewer
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