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Reviewer's report:

The title was very catchy, but when I went in depth through the article, it appeared to lack sound statistical background to frame any conclusions and moreover it looked as if it was an opinion poll. If it was planned like KAP study (Knowledge, Attitude & Practices) study with predefined questionnaires and participants response was coded and analysed, would have been more authentic. Article is too lengthy also. It appeared as if I was reading some narrative of some interview. Anyway the article definitely draws reader's attention and the study subject is also relevant to the current obstetric ultrasound practice and how it is viewed from patients' point. I am sure it will definitely attract readers and give them a thought on which they can plan further studies in this area

Are the methods appropriate and well described?
If not, please specify what is required in your comments to the authors.

Unable to assess

Does the work include the necessary controls?
If not, please specify which controls are required in your comments to the authors.

Unable to assess

Are the conclusions drawn adequately supported by the data shown?
If not, please explain in your comments to the authors.

Unable to assess

Are you able to assess any statistics in the manuscript or would you recommend an additional statistical review?
If an additional statistical review is recommended, please specify what aspects require further assessment in your comments to the editors.

Not relevant to this manuscript
Quality of written English
Please indicate the quality of language in the manuscript:
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