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Author’s response to reviews:

Dear Sir or Madam,

thank you for your letter regarding the submission to BMC Pregnancy and Childbirth of our manuscript entitled “Who is the gate keeper for treatment in a fertility clinic in Germany? - Baseline-results of a prospective cohort study (PinK-Study)-“ (PRCH-D-16-00554).

We would hereby like to submit a revised edition of our manuscript and would like to again express our gratitude to Mr. Wischmann.

A point-by-point response to your letter follows.

Regards,

Eva Münster
Editor comments:

1. Information pertaining to the consent to publish to be given by participants was added to section "Consent for publication" as requested.

2. Information about the role of the funding body was added as requested.

3. The legend for figure 1 was placed under the heading "Figure legends" in the manuscript and removed from the text of the manuscript and the figure file as requested.

4. Noted, thank you for the hint.

5. Additional metadata was removed form the files as requested.

Reviewer 1 (Mr. Wischmann)'s report:

1. On page 1, line 7, "Rhenish" was not replaced by "Rheinish" as suggested because Merriam-Webster and Oxford English Dictionary actually confirm the former to be correct.

2. On page 6, lines 19-21, "he" was twice replaced by "he/she" as suggested.

3. On page 8, line 10, the redundant blank after "subgroups" was removed as suggested.

4. On page 9, line 3, "0,05" was replaced by "0.05" as suggested.
5. On page 11, line 5 and page 12, line 22, "every 10th man" was replaced by "every 9th man" as suggested.

6. On page 21, line 6, "Fraune" was replaced by "Frauen" and the following paragraph was correctly formatted beginning with "27. Agarwal" as suggested.