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Author’s response to reviews:

Ashraf Fawzy Ibrahim Mohamed Nabhan, M.D. (Reviewer 1): Thank you for taking our comments on board.

1. Some minor editing for grammar and spelling mistakes.

Answer: We have gone through the manuscript again and edited the text for grammar and spelling. Changes can be seen by the track changes in the manuscript.

2. Limitations should acknowledge the limitations of your work not the lack of knowledge for strategy selection. The non-comprehensive literature search, the barriers forming the base for the strategy being derived from a HIC health service, the need for empirical evidence that this tailored strategy would improve adherence to PPH guidelines as a surrogate to improved outcomes ..etc

Answer: We have changed the limitations paragraph in the discussion to include the points as mentioned.
3. Kindly revisit the conclusion. for instance, the sentence "The next steps are testing the feasibility and effectiveness of the strategy in the clinical practice" would not be a conclusion. This sentence forwards to the end of the paragraph would fit the end of the discussion.

Answer: We have changed the sentences concerning the next steps from conclusion to the discussion where it logically follow-up with the above mentioned suggestion 2. We have changed the conclusion so it only covers this article and not hints to any future plans and suggestions.