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**Reviewer's report:**

This is an interesting case series of antenatal diagnosis of huge fetal hepatic hemaangiomas and their follow up in the neonatal period.

The authors need to consider the following:

1) Materials section: P4 Ln 70 Fetal gestational age was determined....... (mean 35+1 weeks). Do the authors refer to the mean gestation of diagnosis of the lesions? This should be in the Results section.

2) Materials: P4 Ln 76. Ultrasound was performed once per day or week.......The authors should clarify their protocol for follow up after the diagnosis of the hemangiomas

3) Materials: P5 Ln 87 "Complications and mode of delivery were also recorded”. This apparently referred to before delivery of the fetus. Putting it here after description of neonatal management is inappropriate.

4) Materials: P4 Ln 78-87. The authors described how they would assess and follow-up these babies after delivery. Will there be any scenario when surgical treatment is needed? please elaborate.

5) Results: First paragraph: Were all the diagnosis made incidentally when USG is performed in the third trimester routinely or for other unrelated indications? Please summarize in the table the gestation at delivery for each case, and also describe in the results the average interval from diagnosis to delivery.

6) Discussion: P8. Ln 154. On 2-dimensional USG .... Do the authors refer to neonatal or antenatal USG findings before delivery? If these refer to postnatal, then it would not be appropriate to refer to as fetus 5 and 6 and so on. Please comment on whether the antenatal USG findings correlate with neonatal USG findings

7) Discussion: P8  Ln 161. These MRI findings - please clarify what are the MRI findings being referred to (? p6 Ln 108-115). Please comment on the correlation between antenatal USG and antenatal MRI findings.
Are the methods appropriate and well described?
If not, please specify what is required in your comments to the authors.

Yes

Does the work include the necessary controls?
If not, please specify which controls are required in your comments to the authors.

Unable to assess

Are the conclusions drawn adequately supported by the data shown?
If not, please explain in your comments to the authors.

Yes

Are you able to assess any statistics in the manuscript or would you recommend an additional statistical review?
If an additional statistical review is recommended, please specify what aspects require further assessment in your comments to the editors.

Not relevant to this manuscript

Quality of written English
Please indicate the quality of language in the manuscript:

Needs some language corrections before being published

Declaration of competing interests
Please complete a declaration of competing interests, considering the following questions:

1. Have you in the past five years received reimbursements, fees, funding, or salary from an organisation that may in any way gain or lose financially from the publication of this manuscript, either now or in the future?

2. Do you hold any stocks or shares in an organisation that may in any way gain or lose financially from the publication of this manuscript, either now or in the future?

3. Do you hold or are you currently applying for any patents relating to the content of the manuscript?

4. Have you received reimbursements, fees, funding, or salary from an organization that holds or has applied for patents relating to the content of the manuscript?

5. Do you have any other financial competing interests?

6. Do you have any non-financial competing interests in relation to this paper?
If you can answer no to all of the above, write 'I declare that I have no competing interests' below. If your reply is yes to any, please give details below.

I declare that I have no competing interests

I agree to the open peer review policy of the journal. I understand that my name will be included on my report to the authors and, if the manuscript is accepted for publication, my named report including any attachments I upload will be posted on the website along with the authors' responses. I agree for my report to be made available under an Open Access Creative Commons CC-BY license (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/). I understand that any comments which I do not wish to be included in my named report can be included as confidential comments to the editors, which will not be published.

I agree to the open peer review policy of the journal