Reviewer’s report

Title: Successful anticoagulant therapy for disseminated intravascular coagulation during conservative management of placenta percreta: A case report and literature review

Version: 0 Date: 30 Oct 2017

Reviewer: Valerie Smith

Reviewer's report:

The authors present an interesting case with an associated literature review. I have some minor comments only;

1. Lines 88-90; you describe a Gravida 5, Para 2 woman, but state she had no medical history except for two cesareans; so what happened with the other two pregnancies? Did these end with miscarriages? If yes, perhaps include here so as to clarify.

2. Line 103; the estimated blood loss of 200mls seems fairly low for a CS birth; how was this estimated; e.g. swab weights, visually, etc.; please state.

3. Line 104; where you state the woman was not administered prophylactic antibiotics post-operative; is this usual/routine procedure in your unit or a deviation from same? Please clarify

4. Line 107; as the woman is described as discharged on day 14, where and why was the blood taken on day 42? For example, was it during a follow-up clinic visit? Was it by her general practitioner? Please clarify

5. Lines 169-172; regarding the literature review - you divide the reports by DIC and fever; so was yours the only case that experienced both? Can you clarify in the text please
Are the methods appropriate and well described?
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