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Reviewer's report:

There are lots of redundant text and grammatical errors.

Abstract: From the background and methods of the abstract, it is unclear what the main objective of the study was. No mention of sample size, descriptive statistics are not standardised i.e. %(n)-this is the same throughout the script. Conclusion in the abstract mentions 'knowledge and practice of safe motherhood services by men' but this does not make sense.

Methods: details of variables are needed. I suggest a simple table to describe the variables collected. Data analysis for descriptive analysis using both SPSS and STATA seems excessive.

Results: So much about demographics findings but what is there any correlation of this with the RH/SRH knowledge or participation in RH/SRH by men?

Discussion: This can be significantly shortened and better structured. it should have only the main results, strengths/limitations of the study, comparison of findings with other studies, opinion of authors to reasons for differences in results found, implication of findings for practice and future research.

Are the methods appropriate and well described?
If not, please specify what is required in your comments to the authors.

No

Does the work include the necessary controls?
If not, please specify which controls are required in your comments to the authors.

No

Are the conclusions drawn adequately supported by the data shown?
If not, please explain in your comments to the authors.

Yes
Are you able to assess any statistics in the manuscript or would you recommend an additional statistical review?
If an additional statistical review is recommended, please specify what aspects require further assessment in your comments to the editors.

I am able to assess the statistics

Quality of written English
Please indicate the quality of language in the manuscript:

Needs some language corrections before being published
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