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Reviewer's report:

Revision Summary

- The article is balanced and well-written. There is no any major issues with the article that needs to be addressed. It has also clearly stated its limitations.

- I suggest authors to revisit the discussion section and if possible, add some additional discussions relating to social and environmental determinants that might be influencing the clients satisfaction including empowerment level of women from different geographical region and ethnicity, social position (based on income, education and occupation) and any socio-cultural determinants which might be influencing the client satisfaction.

Some minor suggestions:

- Please change "reflects" to "reflected" in line 120

- Please revisit line 124

- Line 256: please revisit line 256. "Higher satisfaction" in rural women could have been due to low empowerment level to compare and express dissatisfaction with services and/or such facilities and health workers being the only option rather than mentioning very distal determinants.

- Line 318: Please revisit the sentence and referencing.

Are the methods appropriate and well described?
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Does the work include the necessary controls?
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Unable to assess
Are the conclusions drawn adequately supported by the data shown?
If not, please explain in your comments to the authors.
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Are you able to assess any statistics in the manuscript or would you recommend an additional statistical review?
If an additional statistical review is recommended, please specify what aspects require further assessment in your comments to the editors.
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Quality of written English
Please indicate the quality of language in the manuscript:
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