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Author's response to reviews: see over
Dear Editor,

Thank you for accepting our manuscript ‘Socio-demographic inequalities across a range of health status indicators and health behaviours among pregnant women in prenatal primary care: a cross-sectional study’ for publication. We have addressed the editorial points below. We hope the manuscript is now suitable for production.

Kind regards,
Ruth Baron

Editorial points and authors’ responses:

- Please confirm whether ethical approval was obtained for the current study, stating this in the Methods as well as the full name of the IRB which approved the study protocol. If ethical approval was necessary, please detail whether permission was obtained to use DELIVER data and by whom it was granted.

- Please confirm in your manuscript whether informed consent was obtained from all participants. If the need for consent was waived by an IRB, please state this.

Authors’ response: The methods sections now contains the following two statements. (lines 78-80)

All women who participated in the study gave their informed consent to their midwives.
Ethical approval was obtained for this study from the Medical Ethics Committee of the VU University Medical Centre in Amsterdam on December 9th, 2009 (Ref. 2009/284).