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Dear Editor

Exploring the intangible economic costs of stillbirth

(Please note a revised title)

Many thanks for the further comments on our paper. We present a response to the comments below. Where appropriate we have edited the manuscript and presented the revised manuscript.

We look forward to hearing from you.

Yours sincerely

Tracy Roberts on behalf of the co-authors

EDITORS

(1) - many thanks for addressing the comments of the reviewers. There are several remaining issues.

Response: We thank the editors for the comments. We have responded to specific corrections below.

(2) - Title: Thanks for revising the title. The economic focus still implies some numbers. May I suggest to change the title into: Exploring the intangible economic costs of stillbirth. Such a title may imply that the reader is not necessarily expecting WTP-related methods and numbers but more the facts behind the numbers.

Response: Thank you for this suggestion. We have made the suggested change to; ‘Exploring the intangible economic costs of stillbirth’.

(3) - Results: Please present the final sample size in the results only (Line 181 is okay). Information on search outcomes should go into the methods section (line 179 and 180)

Response: We agree with the editor that this information should be in the methods section and not the results section. We thank you for pointing out this error and we
have moved the information to the methods section.

(3) - Line 383 and 384: A term is still in bold and blue.

Response: Thank you for noting this error. We have corrected it.