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Reviewer's report:

Thank you for the opportunity to review this interesting paper.

The provision of information to women on publically accessible websites is important and this paper addresses an important area.

There are a number of small changes that I recommend before the paper can be accepted for publication.

The opening sentence of the Abstract need rewriting to spell out the abbreviations and also to avoid the use of the word ‘procedures’ as it was not clear to be initially about what that referred to.

I presume the sampling criteria also included English language?

Can the word ‘delivery’ be replaced with ‘birth’ throughout as this is more acceptable language.

How was ‘scaremongering’ determined (page 5, line 152). What is seen as scaremongering to one person might just be presentation of evidence by another.

I presume that the 2 websites that were funded by “membership” were publicly accessible?

The websites were from the UK, US and Canada. What relevance do these have for other countries? This needs to be addressed in the Discussion and also in the limitations section.
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