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REVIEW REPORT

1. Is the question posed by the authors well defined? Yes
2. Are the methods appropriate and well described? The description for the control group is still not clear on its randomisation process. Figure 1 is not well explained under method section.
3. Are the data sound? Yes
4. Do the figures appear to be genuine, i.e. without evidence of manipulation? Please add column for case and control group for easy interpretation in table 3 and 4. Please state the process required steps in performing multivariate analysis. What method of multivariate analysis chosen? I suggest for the table 3 and 4 to combine as one table showing crude and adjusted odd ratio.
5. Does the manuscript adhere to the relevant standards for reporting and data deposition? Adhered.
6. Are the discussion and conclusions well balanced and adequately supported by the data? Discussion is shallow. Suggest incorporating more literature to support the findings. This is based on tertiary hospital data. It cannot be generalised to Nepal population. Accessibility, quality of services, equality of service, affordability should be discuss based on Nepal scenario.
7. Are limitations of the work clearly stated? Yes
8. Do the authors clearly acknowledge any work upon which they are building, both published and unpublished? Yes
9. Do the title and abstract accurately convey what has been found? Yes
10. Is the writing acceptable? Yes

Please add more references related to south Asia country.
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Quality of written English: Acceptable

Statistical review: Yes, and I have assessed the statistics in my report.