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Reviewer’s report:

Thanks for sending me this revised manuscript. Thanks to the author for attempting to address all of my previous comments.

There remain problems with possible confounding (e.g. it seems that induction is more likely to result in CS if the woman has a low Bishop score at the outset, and some induction methods are more generally used in women with low Bishop scores, so the fact that cervical ripening agents were associated with increased CS was not that surprising).

For me, the clinical interpretation of findings remains problematic; recommendations seem to apply only to those women opting for elective induction.

The author has set out limitations of the study and the discussion has been improved.

The issues re confounding etc are mentioned by the author and if the article is accepted it will be up to the reader to interpret the clinical significance of findings.

The manuscript needs some minor editing.

Level of interest: An article of importance in its field

Quality of written English: Acceptable

Statistical review: Yes, but I do not feel adequately qualified to assess the statistics.
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