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**Reviewer's report:**

This is an interesting paper which is very well written. I have only a few minor comments.

1. Page 7, line 8: please explain the term 'discounted at 3% and 5%' in case of average life years. This term is not very familiar to all health researchers.
2. Page 8, line 9: The sentence is not clear. Please clarify that total was equal to 24.22 adult equivalents.
3. Page 11, lines 17-18: The sentence is not meaningful.
4. Page 12, line 14: Please clarify that the figure represents per capita GDP.
5. Page 13, lines 1,2: The authors have compared the cost of per IM averted with their intervention with the cost of community based management of acute malnutrition. This comparison is not appropriate. Acute malnutrition requires complex interventions and the costs are bound to be high.

**Level of interest:** An article of importance in its field

**Quality of written English:** Acceptable

**Statistical review:** No, the manuscript does not need to be seen by a statistician.
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