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Dear Editors,

Thank you for your comments.

Here is a point-by-point description of the changes made:

*1 Methods: Please state the significance level you used.

We just added in the Methods section the significance level we used: all results with p-values lower than 0.05 were considered to be statistically significant. Page 7, 1st paragraph.

*2 Methods: Please explain your multivariable modelling strategy. Which inclusion criteria did you use, i.e. how did you decide which variables to be considered for inclusion into the multivariable model; which exclusion criteria did you use, i.e. how did you decide whether a variable should be kept in the final model or be excluded from it. If you have used statistical tests for any of these decisions, state which one(s).

This is now explained in the Method section. Page 8, above Results section.

Thank you for your consideration of this article. We look forward to hearing from you.

Sincerely,

Dilys Walker, MD
Associate Professor
Department of Obstetrics and Gynecology and Global Health
University of Washington
University of San Francisco
Dilys@u.washington.edu