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Variables Associated with Use of Symptomatic Medication during a Headache Attack in Individuals with Tension Type Headache: A European Study

We have included a point-by-point cover letter.

Editor Comments

Comment 1: Please provide more justification for the contributions of authors. We ask that you consider your list of authors as it currently stands with reference to our guidelines regarding qualification for authorship (http://www.biomedcentral.com/submissions/editorial-policies#authorship).

An 'author' is generally considered to be someone who has made substantive intellectual contributions to a published study. Authors are expected to fulfil the criteria below (adapted from McNutt et al., Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences, Feb 2018, 201715374; DOI: 10.1073/pnas.1715374115; licensed under CC BY 4.0):
Each author is expected to have made substantial contributions to the conception OR design of
the work; OR the acquisition, analysis, OR interpretation of data; OR the creation of new
software used in the work; OR have drafted the work or substantively revised it

AND to have approved the submitted version (and any substantially modified version that
involves the author's contribution to the study);

AND to have agreed both to be personally accountable for the author's own contributions and to
ensure that questions related to the accuracy or integrity of any part of the work, even ones in
which the author was not personally involved, are appropriately investigated, resolved, and the
resolution documented in the literature.

Acquisition of funding, collection of data or general supervision of the research group, alone,
does not usually justify authorship.

Anyone listed as an author must be included in this section. If you choose to change your author
list you will need to fill out a change in authorship form and send it by email to the Editorial
office to be approved by the Editor. The form can be found at this web address
https://resource-
cms.springernature.com/springer-cms/rest/v1/content/7454878/data/v5. All instructions can be
found on the form. Please return the form within 14 days with all author signatures.

Anyone who contributed towards the article who does not meet the criteria for authorship can be
acknowledged in the ‘Acknowledgements’ section.

Response: We have expanded and adapted the authors contribution following the guidelines as
suggested. No change in authors list or contribution have been conducted, just explained

Comment 2: Please remove all information related to funding from the Acknowledgments
subsection. Funding information should be presented solely in the Funding subsection. The
Acknowledgments subsection should solely feature information which acknowledges anyone
who contributed towards the article who does not meet the criteria for authorship including
anyone who provided professional writing services or materials.

Response: We have removed the info located at the acknowledgment section to funding section
as requested.

Comment 3: The Availability of data and materials section refers to the raw data used in your
study and presenting tables and figures is not sufficient to state that all data is contained within
the manuscript and additional files. Please only use this statement if you have indeed provided all
raw data on which your study is based. We strongly encourage all authors to share their raw data,
either by providing it in a supplementary file, making it available on request to the authors, or
depositing it in a public repository and providing the details on how to access it in this section. If
you do not wish to share your data, please clearly state this in this section along with a
Data availability statements can take one of the following forms (or a combination of more than one if required for multiple datasets):

- The datasets generated and/or analysed during the current study are available in the [NAME] repository, [PERSISTENT WEB LINK TO DATASETS]

- The datasets used and/or analysed during the current study are available from the corresponding author on reasonable request.

- All data generated or analysed during this study are included in this published article [and its supplementary information files].

- The datasets generated and/or analysed during the current study are not publicly available due [REASON WHY DATA ARE NOT PUBLIC] but are available from the corresponding author on reasonable request.

- Data sharing is not applicable to this article as no datasets were generated or analysed during the current study.

- The data that support the findings of this study are available from [third party name] but restrictions apply to the availability of these data, which were used under license for the current study, and so are not publicly available. Data are however available from the authors upon reasonable request and with permission of [third party name].

- Not applicable. If your manuscript does not contain any data, please state 'Not applicable' in this section.

Please note that if you do wish to share your raw data and do not have consent from all patients to publish this data it will need to be de-identified.

Please also note that if you include your raw data as a supplementary file you will need to provide, after the References, a section titled “Additional files” where you list the following information about each of your supplementary files: * File name (e.g. Additional file 1), * Title of data, * Description of data. All additional files will also need to have been cited in the main manuscript.

Response: We have included the statement that data are available from the corresponding author on reasonable request.

Comment 4: At this stage, please upload your manuscript as a single, final, clean version that does not contain any tracked changes, comments, highlights, strikethroughs or text in different colours. All relevant tables/figures/additional files should also be clean versions. Figures (and additional files) should remain uploaded as separate files.
Response: We have uploaded all cleaned version of the files

We hope that with the inclusion of this final comment from the reviewer, the paper can be accepted for publication in BMC Neurology

Sincerely yours, The authors