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REVISION NURL-D-19-00589R1: CHEMICAL MENINGITIS IN CHILDREN AS A RISK FACTOR OF THE CRANIOPHARYNGIOMA RESECTION - A CASE REPORT.

Authors have tried to answer many of the questions posed in the review.

Nevertheless, it is necessary to address the following points:

* The article needs additional editing work and careful review by a native English speaker.

* Abstract-case presentation:

  o Regarding the location of the tumor, it is actually a tumor expanding from the sella to the third ventricle.

* Background:

* Please, focus this section on the definition of aseptic meningitis and its occurrence in craniopharyngioma patients.

* Case presentation:

* Please, clarify how many days following tumor removal the patient underwent placement of an external drainage, and clarify it at that time the CT scan showed hydrocephalus.
Discussion:

Craniopharyngiomas are tumors that may develop from the sella to the third ventricle (it is not accurate to define them as sellar-parasellar tumors).

Figure 2: note the correct term is transpendymal edema (instead of "leak"), as indicated in my previous review.

Are the methods appropriate and well described?  
If not, please specify what is required in your comments to the authors.
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Does the work include the necessary controls?  
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Are the conclusions drawn adequately supported by the data shown?  
If not, please explain in your comments to the authors.

Yes

Are you able to assess any statistics in the manuscript or would you recommend an additional statistical review?  
If an additional statistical review is recommended, please specify what aspects require further assessment in your comments to the editors.
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Quality of written English
Please indicate the quality of language in the manuscript:

Needs some language corrections before being published
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