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Reviewer's report:

The authors revised a case report of chemical meningitis after six days of good clinical course. I think the presentation had become better, but some minor revision should be made.

The presumptive etiology was I think continuous cholesterol supply from un-resected tumor. The authors presented as complete resection in the 3rd paragraph of case presentation. On the contrary they mentioned possibility of a small remnant in the later paragraph. These expressions were paradoxical and should be revised with consistency. For example ‘gross total removal of the tumor’ seems to be acceptable even in the case without strict and true 100% of removal, and this possibility would better be pointed in the discussion. And if early MRI could be performed with contrast medium (not over 150 days) the author's presumption was supported, although the treatment regimen could not be modified.

Are the methods appropriate and well described?
If not, please specify what is required in your comments to the authors.

Yes

Does the work include the necessary controls?
If not, please specify which controls are required in your comments to the authors.

Unable to assess

Are the conclusions drawn adequately supported by the data shown?
If not, please explain in your comments to the authors.

Yes

Are you able to assess any statistics in the manuscript or would you recommend an additional statistical review?
If an additional statistical review is recommended, please specify what aspects require further assessment in your comments to the editors.

Not relevant to this manuscript

Quality of written English
Please indicate the quality of language in the manuscript:
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