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Reviewer's report:

Dear author,

In this manuscript, the authors reported an ictal angina which might be related to focal epilepsy. Ictal angina related to epilepsy is very rare, so it is valuable that sounds the alarm to clinician. In addition, it is very interesting and important for elucidating a part of brain function. However, I have some concerns as below;

Major points
  # Discussion

This report is valuable that sounds the alarm to clinician, mentioned above. On the other hand, it is also important and significant to elucidate a part brain function which neurologists and epileptologists are very interested in. The authors speculated it little. I hope that the authors give me additional data and discussion, concerning the mechanism of ictal angina.

I am very sorry to bother you.

But, could you show me the correspondence of ictal EEG to symptom? In addition, if you have additional data, for example, ictal SPECT or MEG, could you present me? If the authors do these, the clue would come out, I think.

Minor points

  # English proofreading
There are some errors in English throughout this report, so that they should get English proofreading by native speakers again.
Are the methods appropriate and well described?
If not, please specify what is required in your comments to the authors.

Unable to assess

Does the work include the necessary controls?
If not, please specify which controls are required in your comments to the authors.

Unable to assess

Are the conclusions drawn adequately supported by the data shown?
If not, please explain in your comments to the authors.

Unable to assess

Are you able to assess any statistics in the manuscript or would you recommend an additional statistical review?
If an additional statistical review is recommended, please specify what aspects require further assessment in your comments to the editors.

Not relevant to this manuscript

Quality of written English
Please indicate the quality of language in the manuscript:

Not suitable for publication unless extensively edited
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