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Please include all comments for the authors in this box rather than uploading your report as an attachment. Please only upload as attachments annotated versions of manuscripts, graphs, supporting materials or other aspects of your report which cannot be included in a text format.

Please overwrite this text when adding your comments to the authors.

The authors report the results of a project that developed a nomogram that would predict outcomes after stroke. The variables selected were: age, NIHSS score, history of diabetes, and creatinine levels. A system of escalating points for each variable when combined could be used to predict outcome. The study is of interest. The findings are not surprising. All these variables are already known to be strong forecasters of outcomes. The usefulness of this rating instrument is unclear. This reviewer objects to the use of the word "novel" for this system is built on similar clinical scales. The introduction and discussion are long and repetitive. Please condense. Please shorten the tables by focusing on only those features that are important for this research. This reviewer admires the authors efforts to write this paper in English. Still, there are problems in word usage and grammar. The authors should seek the assistance of a native speaker of English to help improve the paper.

Are the methods appropriate and well described?
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Are the conclusions drawn adequately supported by the data shown?
If not, please explain in your comments to the authors.
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**Are you able to assess any statistics in the manuscript or would you recommend an additional statistical review?**
If an additional statistical review is recommended, please specify what aspects require further assessment in your comments to the editors.
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Please indicate the quality of language in the manuscript:

Needs some language corrections before being published
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