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Author’s response to reviews:

Dear Editors and Reviewers:

Thank you very much for giving us this opportunity to resubmit our manuscript entitled: “A NADE
nomogram to Predict the Probability of 6-Month Unfavorable Outcome in Chinese Patients with Ischemic Stroke (NURL-D-19-00361), I should like to express my appreciation to you and the reviewers for suggesting how to improve our paper. According to the reviewers’ comments, we have made correction which we hope meet with their approval. Point by point responses to the reviewer’s comments are listed below.

-------------------------
Editor Comments:
1. In your Ethics approval section, please clarify whether consent obtained from participants was written or verbal. If consent was obtained verbally please include reasoning for this and state if an IRB approved this method of obtaining consent.

2. Please revise your “Introduction” subheading to read instead as “Background.”

3. At this stage, please upload your manuscript as a single, final, clean version that does not contain any tracked changes, comments, highlights, strikethroughs or text in different colours. All relevant tables/figures/additional files should also be clean versions. Figures (and additional files) should remain uploaded as separate files.

Major Considerations
Author respond: We appreciate the comments of the editor. we have revised the manuscript. The details as follow:
1. Thank you very much for the excellent and professional revision of our manuscript. We altered “All patients have given their informed consent” as “All patients have given their written informed consent” in the Ethics approval section.
2. Thank you very much for pointing this out. We revised “Introduction” subheading to read instead as “Background.
3. Thank you very much for your suggestion. All clean versions would be uploaded, including manuscript, tables, figures and additional files.