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Reviewer's report:

This article is interesting and important to the future application of video recording for analysis of conditions of PD patients. However, a few concerns need to be addressed.

1. The methods are not clearly elaborated. References, 13, 14, 15, need to be explained more in detail to make the readers easily understand how the analysis is done.

2. In the results, what is the meaning of "Saturation was reached after interviews with 16 PD patients…"?

3. It would be better to have a proportion value of agreement and objection for each category in the themes in Table 1.

4. The meaning of Figure 1 is not clear. What calculations of movement parameters can be automatically performed?

5. Given that the prevalence of PD is 1% in age over 60, 16 participants is a very small sample size. That is a significant limitation of this study.

Are the methods appropriate and well described?
If not, please specify what is required in your comments to the authors.

No

Does the work include the necessary controls?
If not, please specify which controls are required in your comments to the authors.

Unable to assess

Are the conclusions drawn adequately supported by the data shown?
If not, please explain in your comments to the authors.

Yes
Are you able to assess any statistics in the manuscript or would you recommend an additional statistical review?
If an additional statistical review is recommended, please specify what aspects require further assessment in your comments to the editors.

Not relevant to this manuscript

Quality of written English
Please indicate the quality of language in the manuscript:
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