Author's response to reviews

Title: rs1234313 and rs45454293 are risk factors of cerebral arterial thrombosis, large artery atherosclerosis, and carotid plaque in the Han Chinese population: a case-control study

Authors:

Yan Jiang (Jane0707@163.com)
Xiaomin Liu (liuxiaomin5@126.com)
Yifeng Du (Duyifeng2@126.com)
Shengnian Zhou (zhoushengnian2015@163.com)

Version: 2 Date: 10 Feb 2019

Author's response to reviews:

Response letter:

1. I'm afraid the quality of the English used throughout your manuscript does not currently meet our requirements. We recommend that you ask a native English speaking colleague to help you copy-edit the paper. If this is not possible, you may need to use a professional language editing service. Use of an editing service is neither a requirement nor a guarantee of acceptance for publication.

Re: the paper was edited by a MD in USA thoroughly. Thank you.

2. Please specify whether consent to participate was given in written or verbal form. If verbal, please state the reason and whether the ethics committee approved this procedure.

Re: the written informed consents were accepted. Thank you.

3. Please clarify if any patient was unable to provide written informed consent due to their condition and, if so, please detail this and the procedure to obtain necessary consent in the Ethics approval and consent to participate section of the Declarations.

Re: all patients are able to provide written informed consent. Thank you.

4. Please include an 'Authors' Contributions' subheading before the appropriate section in the declarations.

Re: per your suggestion, the “Authors' Contributions” was added. Thank you.
5. Please consider the list of authors as it currently stands with reference to our guidelines regarding qualification for authorship (http://www.biomedcentral.com/submissions/editorial-policies#authorship).

Re: the list of authors qualified to the guidelines. Thank you.

Currently, the contributions of some authors do not automatically qualify them for authorship. In the section “Authors’ contributions”, please provide further clarifications on their contributions, and see our guidelines for authorship below.

An 'author' is generally considered to be someone who has made substantive intellectual contributions to a published study. Authors are expected to fulfil the criteria below (adapted from McNutt et al., Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences, Feb 2018, 201715374; DOI: 10.1073/pnas.1715374115; licensed under CC BY 4.0):

Each author is expected to have made substantial contributions to the conception OR design of the work; OR the acquisition, analysis, OR interpretation of data; OR the creation of new software used in the work; OR have drafted the work or substantively revised it

AND to have approved the submitted version (and any substantially modified version that involves the author's contribution to the study);

AND to have agreed both to be personally accountable for the author's own contributions and to ensure that questions related to the accuracy or integrity of any part of the work, even ones in which the author was not personally involved, are appropriately investigated, resolved, and the resolution documented in the literature.

Anyone listed as an author must be included in this section. If you choose to change your author list you will need to fill out a change in authorship form and send it by email to the Editorial office to be approved by the Editor. The form can be found here: https://resource-cms.springernature.com/springer-cms/rest/v1/content/7454878/data/v5

Anyone who contributed towards the article who does not meet the criteria for authorship can be acknowledged in the ‘Acknowledgements’ section.

6. We note that your current funding statement is simply 'no'. If no funding was obtained for the current study, please include a full statement to reflect this.
Re: “no funding was obtained for this study.” instead of “no”. Thank you.

7. At this stage, please upload your manuscript as a single, final, clean version that does not contain any tracked changes, comments, highlights, strikethroughs or text in different colours. All relevant tables/figures/additional files should also be clean versions. Figures (and additional files) should remain uploaded as separate files.

Re: a single, final, and clean version was uploaded. Thank you.