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Author’s response to reviews:

1. Ethics Approval and Consent to Participate

Please confirm whether informed consent, written or verbal, was obtained from all participants and clearly state this in your Methods and Ethics approval and consent to participate sections. If verbal, please state the reason and whether the ethics committee approved this procedure. If the need for consent was waived by an IRB or is deemed unnecessary according to national regulations, please clearly state this, including the name of the IRB or a reference to the relevant legislation.

We have amended the text to reflect that the de-identified data was collected using a waiver to consent and added the ethics committee details. “Ethics approval for the study and for a waiver of consent for participants was obtained from the North Sydney Local Health District Human Research Ethics Committee (HREC/17/HAWKE/22).”
2. Availability of Data and Materials

Currently, you have stated “Additional de-identified and aggregated data are available on request from the authors”, can you please specify which authors should be contacted to access additional data, for example, “data is available from the corresponding author on reasonable request”.

We have added the following text to the report “Additional de-identified and aggregated data are available on reasonable request from the corresponding author

3. Additional Files

Currently, additional file 1 is a second copy of your manuscript. Please remove this and upload the “Additional File 1: Clinical Record Review: Survey Questions” listed on your additional files list.

We re-uploaded and replaced the correct files.

4. Formatting

Currently, you have combined your “figure legend” and “additional files list”, please separate these and move them after the references.

We have separated these and moved them to after the references as requested.

5. Figures

If Figure titles/legends are within the main text of the manuscript, please move them.

Please only provide figure titles/legends under a separate heading of 'Figure Legends' after the References.

We have checked the manuscript to ensure there are no figure titles/legends in the main text.

6. Response and Editors Comments Letter

Please remove the response to reviewers’ comments and the editor’s comments letter, as it is no longer needed at this stage. Please do not upload a new response letter with this revision.

We have removed these files as requested

7. Clean Manuscript
At this stage, please upload your manuscript as a single, final, clean version that does not contain any tracked changes, comments, highlights, strikethroughs or text in different colours. All relevant tables/figures/additional files should also be clean versions. Figures (and additional files) should remain uploaded as separate files.

Thank you we have complied with the above requirements.