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Reviewer's report:

The manuscript is well-written and covers a relevant subject. It extends (so far very low) information in the literature on Antibody-LTI 1 autoimmune encephalitis manifesting as rapidly progressive dementia and hyponatremia. The case is well-presented both concerning the content and the length of the article. The conclusions which the authors draw are in a modest tone and avoid generalization and too much speculation. Overall, the case is worth to be published in BMC Neurology.

As a (minor) suggestion, the authors might slightly tone down some of their conclusions regarding the ASL technique and better imply that more cases are needed to validate them better.

Are the methods appropriate and well described?
If not, please specify what is required in your comments to the authors.
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Does the work include the necessary controls?
If not, please specify which controls are required in your comments to the authors.
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Are the conclusions drawn adequately supported by the data shown?
If not, please explain in your comments to the authors.
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Are you able to assess any statistics in the manuscript or would you recommend an additional statistical review?
If an additional statistical review is recommended, please specify what aspects require further assessment in your comments to the editors.
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