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Reviewer's report:
Authors have reviewed about pediatric multiple sclerosis by doing an intensive review of the literature using Medline, Embase, Cochrane database during the last 2 decades. The main conclusion is that pediatric MS has a more inflammatory course and have more infratentorial lesions. This is an interesting review and it would gain more strength if more details are given in method section: how many articles did the authors collected and what criteria they used to select specific articles. Who are the experts who participated in the PARADIGMS study group. Authors precise in the abstract that the revised IPMSSG criteria improved the accuracy of diagnosis, but no paper ou studies have been cited in the results section. Can the authors comment about that? There are some minor typological errors (Page 6, Absoud and al not Absound...). There were many clinical trial for the dimethyl fumarate in children, FOCUS is mainly looking on DMF effect on MRI in children with RRMS while CONNECT is an open label study on comparing AVONEX against DMF in children with RRMS....

Are the methods appropriate and well described?
If not, please specify what is required in your comments to the authors.
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Does the work include the necessary controls?
If not, please specify which controls are required in your comments to the authors.
Unable to assess

Are the conclusions drawn adequately supported by the data shown?
If not, please explain in your comments to the authors.
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Are you able to assess any statistics in the manuscript or would you recommend an additional statistical review?

If an additional statistical review is recommended, please specify what aspects require further assessment in your comments to the editors.
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Quality of written English
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