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Reviewer’s report:

Term "neuropsychiatric" disease is not appropriate, it would be better to use "disease with neurological and psychiatric symptoms"

Please explain the whole diagnostic workup, including diagnostic workup for (cardio)embolic etiology of stroke

Please explain the reason for mRS of 2 with NIHSS of 1

The theoretic explanation of stenotic changes of intracranial arteries might not applicable for this case. CT angiography did not show any significant stenotic changes, and the bilateral localisation suggest other ethiology rather than thrombotic, probably haemodinamic (hypoperfusion?). Please explain all possible mechanisms of developement of stroke in this case.

In discussion, please include other differential diagnostic possibilities for the clinical picture of the patient, as neuroimaging (which you have included in the paper) can also be interpretated differently.

Are the methods appropriate and well described?  
If not, please specify what is required in your comments to the authors.

No

Does the work include the necessary controls?  
If not, please specify which controls are required in your comments to the authors.

Unable to assess

Are the conclusions drawn adequately supported by the data shown?  
If not, please explain in your comments to the authors.

No

Are you able to assess any statistics in the manuscript or would you recommend an additional statistical review?  
If an additional statistical review is recommended, please specify what aspects require further assessment in your comments to the editors.
Quality of written English
Please indicate the quality of language in the manuscript:
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