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Author’s response to reviews:

Response to the editor

Thank you for your advices. I checked all the points and have incorporated them to the R3 version.

1 Email addresses for all the authors

Please provide email addresses for all the authors in the title page.

Answer: We have provided all the email addresses at the title page. Please check.

2 Consent for publication

Thank you for providing the statement that the consent for publication was obtained from the patient's family.

However, the consent for publication should be obtained from the next of kin if the patient is deceased. Please specify which family member the consent for publication was obtained from in the statement.
Please also move 'Consent for publication' under 'Declarations' as it should be a part of 'Declarations'.

Answer: The consent for publication was obtained by the patient’s wife. We described this information at the ‘Consent for publication’ section and moved this section under ‘Declarations’.

3 Authors’ contributions.

In this section you stated that 'TT supervised the manuscript drafting'.

An 'author' is generally considered to be someone who has made substantive intellectual contributions to a published study. We recommend that that you adhere to the guidelines for authorship that are applicable in your research field or, in the absence of any guidelines, to the International Committee of Medical Journal Editors (ICMJE) guidelines. According to the ICMJE guidelines, to qualify as an author one should have:

-made substantial contributions to conception and design, or acquisition of data, or analysis and interpretation of data;

-been involved in drafting the manuscript or revising it critically for important intellectual content;

-given final approval of the version to be published. Each author should have participated sufficiently in the work to take public responsibility for appropriate portions of the content; and

-agreed to be accountable for all aspects of the work in ensuring that questions related to the accuracy or integrity of any part of the work are appropriately investigated and resolved.

Please Note: acquisition of funding, collection of data, or general supervision of the research group, alone, does not usually justify authorship.
According to your current statement, only supervising the manuscript couldn't justify the authorship. Please expand the roles of this author in 'Authors' contributions' section

Answer: We have changed the TT’s contribution to “TT wrote and gave the final approval of the manuscript.”. Thank you for your critical advice.

4 CARE Checklist and Response letter

Thank you for providing "CheckList.tiff" and "Letter-R2-final.docx" in your submission. Please remove them from the file inventory as they are for peer review purpose and not necessary at this stage of submission.

Answer: We removed the “Checklist” and “Letter” from the inventory.

5 Clean copy

Please ensure that when you upload your revised submission that it is in the final form for publication. Please remove any tracked changes or highlighting and include only a single clean copy of the manuscript (and please ignore any automated requests to show tracked changes). Should you wish to respond to these revision requests, please include the information in the designated input box only.

Answer: We removed the all the tracked changes or highlighting markers.