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Reviewer's report:

The authors reported their experience of successful treatment of painful camptocormia with spinal cord stimulation (SCS) in a 65-year-old patient with Parkinson disease, and proposed possible mechanisms underlying this effectiveness.

I think this is an interesting case report. It showed possibilities of new applications for the novel treatment SCS, and is worth publishing. There is only one small detail that I wish to be clarified:

In the case report, the authors stated "Her symptoms of parkinsonism were improved by oral administration of cabergoline and selegiline hydrochloride, but their effectiveness decreased and the wearing off phenomenon occurred when she was 56." However, wearing off is quite unusual for PD patients receiving an agonist and an MAOB inhibitors only. I hope they can explain if they had used levodopa before the occurrence or wearing off, and if so, with what doses and for how long.

Are the methods appropriate and well described?
If not, please specify what is required in your comments to the authors.

Yes

Does the work include the necessary controls?
If not, please specify which controls are required in your comments to the authors.

Unable to assess

Are the conclusions drawn adequately supported by the data shown?
If not, please explain in your comments to the authors.

Yes
Are you able to assess any statistics in the manuscript or would you recommend an additional statistical review?
If an additional statistical review is recommended, please specify what aspects require further assessment in your comments to the editors.

Not relevant to this manuscript

Quality of written English
Please indicate the quality of language in the manuscript:
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