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Author's response to reviews: see over
Dear Editor, Dear Reviewer,

We deeply appreciate the time and effort you've spent on reviewing our manuscript. Your comments are really thoughtful and we honestly agreed with most of them. Before we address the comments individually, please allow us to explain several difficulties we encountered, which eventually resulted in the libations of the manuscript, which were also pointed out in your comments.

Reviewer

Comments:

1. This paper has a serious problem in English grammar. They should make the manuscript edited by a native speaker. The manuscript CANNOT be published as it is. For example, in line 19-20, 'visible with both T2*-weighted imaging (T2*WI)', they must have omitted something.

   Answer:
   As you pointed out, we noticed we made several serious grammar mistakes in the submitted article. We had the original manuscript re-edited by a native English speaker, and attached the revised version. I’d like you to confirm i

2. In Case Report, the patient's long-term outcome should be detailed. They described only short-term result after chemotherapy. The patient received radiation? How many cycles of chemotherapy he received? He is still on ventilator? If the follow-up period is too short, I strongly recommend they report this case after at least several months to answer the critical questions.

   Answer:
   The patient underwent 3 cycles of chemotherapy with carboplatin and etoposide. It was difficult to perform radiation therapy because the patient remained intubated and depended on the ventilator. He is now still on ventilator. At least 1
year has passed since the treatment was initiated, but there is no radiographic recurrence. We added the detailed outcome of the patient.

3. In Discussion, they said 'it also enables us to evaluate treatment effects and to monitor for tumor recurrence[14,15]. They performed MET PET? The sentence is quite unclear.

Answer:
We did not perform MET PET. We apologize for the confusing expression. We changed the sentence to avoid the misconception.

4. In Discussion, they referred 'GCT' several times. I think 'intracranial GCT' may be more appropriate.

Answer:
We agree with the comments and changed the words as the reviewer pointed out.