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Reviewer's report:

1. Is the question posed by the authors well defined?
   No

2. Are the methods appropriate and well described?
   No. There is no mention on how images were reviewed. The MRI protocols seem to be variable across patients and there is no mention on how many had what at which scanner’s type. It is unclear if this study was done at disease onset or during the course of it or in different times in different patients.

3. Are the data sound?
   Yes but perhaps poorly presented.

4. Do the figures appear to be genuine, i.e. without evidence of manipulation?
   Yes

5. Does the manuscript adhere to the relevant standards for reporting and data deposition?
   Yes

6. Are the discussion and conclusions well balanced and adequately supported by the data?
   No

7. Are limitations of the work clearly stated?
   No

8. Do the authors clearly acknowledge any work upon which they are building, both published and unpublished?
   Yes

9. Do the title and abstract accurately convey what has been found?
   Yes

10. Is the writing acceptable?
No