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Reviewer's report:

The authors present a case report on the occurrence of hemolytic uremic syndrome caused by an E. coli infection. This is the result of a surgical intervention. Further analysis show that a genetic risk locu was present.

In patients with a genetic predisposition for HUS it is well known that infections or operations can be a trigger for HUS.

Somewhat surprising is the clinical course, since it seems that a spontaneous healing occurs. Also the laboratory constellations are not quite typical (e.g. haptoglobin is not completely suppressed, no schistocytes at the time of very high LDH and anemia).

The manuscript is written in an unacceptably English. Especially on page 4 (lines 91 to 110) there are numerous grammatical and spelling mistakes. For example: sometimes there are even commas and dots in the notation of decimal numbers misused.

The content of the case description is not new and not unusual. There are no new findings on the genesis of a HUS. In sum, the publication in BMC Nephrology is not appropriate.

Are the methods appropriate and well described?
If not, please specify what is required in your comments to the authors.

Unable to assess

Does the work include the necessary controls?
If not, please specify which controls are required in your comments to the authors.

Unable to assess

Are the conclusions drawn adequately supported by the data shown?
If not, please explain in your comments to the authors.

Yes

Are you able to assess any statistics in the manuscript or would you recommend an additional statistical review?
If an additional statistical review is recommended, please specify what aspects require further assessment in your comments to the editors.

Not relevant to this manuscript

**Quality of written English**
Please indicate the quality of language in the manuscript:

Not suitable for publication unless extensively edited
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