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Reviewer’s report:

Thank you for submitting this interesting manuscript. Given the overall burden of end stage renal disease, an analysis of self-management intervention in kidney transplant recipients is important and relevant to improving quality of life.

Janet Marie and colleagues conducted this controlled baseline-follow-up mixed-methods trial to support the effectiveness of holistic nurse-led self-management intervention developed using the Intervention Mapping approach after kidney transplantation in addition to traditional care.

The study included 27 participants in intervention group and 33 participants in control group.

I believe the study was appropriately conducted and the results and conclusions are generally correct and appropriate.

A minor point:

Please correct the p-value for psychological well-being; it is 0.00 in the manuscript, page 19, line 1

Are the methods appropriate and well described?
If not, please specify what is required in your comments to the authors.

Yes

Does the work include the necessary controls?
If not, please specify which controls are required in your comments to the authors.

Yes

Are the conclusions drawn adequately supported by the data shown?
If not, please explain in your comments to the authors.

Yes
Are you able to assess any statistics in the manuscript or would you recommend an additional statistical review?
If an additional statistical review is recommended, please specify what aspects require further assessment in your comments to the editors.

I am able to assess the statistics

Quality of written English
Please indicate the quality of language in the manuscript:
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