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Reviewer's report:

1. This is an interesting case of intradialytic hypertension that does appear to be resolved with the described intervention. I think it is necessary to provide more specific objective information on blood pressure data and weights throughout the course of management. For example, prior to the intervention, it would be useful to see the pre and post dialysis blood pressure and weights during the period of dry weight reduction. This same information is necessary regarding the post intervention period. This detailed information is necessary to fully understand the magnitude of the impact that the intervention presumably induced.

2. The potential for RAS and FMD to induce the overall hypertensive state is explained in the discussion, but what is not addressed is how this would affect the intradialytic rise in blood pressure. This is the defining criteria of intradialytic hypertension and still remains poorly understood.

Are the methods appropriate and well described? If not, please specify what is required in your comments to the authors.
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Does the work include the necessary controls? If not, please specify which controls are required in your comments to the authors.

Unable to assess

Are the conclusions drawn adequately supported by the data shown? If not, please explain in your comments to the authors.

Yes

Are you able to assess any statistics in the manuscript or would you recommend an additional statistical review? If an additional statistical review is recommended, please specify what aspects require further assessment in your comments to the editors.
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