Reviewer’s report

Title: Comparison of visceral, body fat indices and anthropometric measures in relation to chronic kidney disease among Chinese adults from a large scale cross-sectional study

Version: 1 Date: 27 Nov 2017

Reviewer: Clinton Colaco

Reviewer's report:

Introduction:

The paper has been edited for grammatical errors as we had recommended. We had asked the authors to elaborate further on the relationship between obesity and CKD. They have referenced the manuscript written by Kovesdy et al., 2017 which describes this relationship. They go on to discuss the need to find an ideal obesity index. As such, the authors have responded to our queries adequately.

Methods:

The authors have provided a more elaborate description of the study sample in the revision submission. They have provided more detail about the ROC analyses and the Youden index as requested.

Results:

The authors have provided the overall weighted prevalence of CKD. They have not commented upon how this compares to prior research. They have provided information about the confounding variables controlled for including age, education level, marital status, rural/urban, region, smoking status, alcohol use, hypertension, diabetes mellitus, myocardial infarction and stroke. Table 3 and 4 are informative.

Discussion

The authors have discussed the clinical implications of the research and have described the limitations of the study.
Are the methods appropriate and well described?
If not, please specify what is required in your comments to the authors.

Yes

Does the work include the necessary controls?
If not, please specify which controls are required in your comments to the authors.

Yes

Are the conclusions drawn adequately supported by the data shown?
If not, please explain in your comments to the authors.

Yes

Are you able to assess any statistics in the manuscript or would you recommend an additional statistical review?
If an additional statistical review is recommended, please specify what aspects require further assessment in your comments to the editors.

I am able to assess the statistics

Quality of written English
Please indicate the quality of language in the manuscript:
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