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Reviewer's report:

I think this is a very interesting paper which can help us to decide to make a choice for a nephrologist-led or a anticoagulation clinic-led management on the dialysis ward.

In the conclusion on page 10 the following issue is stated:

The higher proportion of patients achieving target TTRs with nephrologist-led management may be due to the frequent and direct contact between nephrologists and hemodialysis patients. This dynamic facilitates timely and intimate knowledge of the patient's clinical course (bleeding events, antibiotic exposure, adherence, etc.) which is often lacking in the case of the anticoagulation clinic-led management. The higher frequency of INR testing observed with the nephrologist-led management may be a consequence of this relationship or an independent contributor to improved results.

I have one striking questions for the authors, did they also look at the cost effectiveness of these different approaches, hence can they offer some additional data on this subject?

Are the methods appropriate and well described?
If not, please specify what is required in your comments to the authors.

Yes

Does the work include the necessary controls?
If not, please specify which controls are required in your comments to the authors.

Yes

Are the conclusions drawn adequately supported by the data shown?
If not, please explain in your comments to the authors.

Yes
Are you able to assess any statistics in the manuscript or would you recommend an additional statistical review?
If an additional statistical review is recommended, please specify what aspects require further assessment in your comments to the editors.

I am able to assess the statistics

**Quality of written English**
Please indicate the quality of language in the manuscript:
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