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Author’s response to reviews:

Dear Editors and Reviewers:

On behalf of my co-authors, we appreciate the editors and reviewers very much for their positive and constructive comments and suggestions on our manuscript entitled "Predictive abilities of cardiovascular biomarkers to rapid decline of renal function in Chinese community-dwelling population: a 5-year prospective analysis". We study the editors and reviewer's comments carefully and try our best to revise the paper.

Responds to the editors and reviewers’ comments:

Editors:

1. We note that the corresponding author listed on the title page of the manuscript differs from the one mentioned in the editorial submission system. Please clarify which author is intended as the corresponding author, amending either the title page or our submission data where appropriate.
We are very sorry for the unclear description. Thank you for your valuable suggestion very much. Your valuable suggestions are very valuable and helpful for us to improve our paper. Leiming Luo and Ping Ye are corresponding authors. Under your guidance, we make the corresponding modification in the submission data.

2. In your Ethics approval section please specify from whom written consent was obtained.

We are very sorry for the unclear description. Thank you for your kind suggestion very much. Your valuable suggestions are very valuable and helpful for us to improve our paper. Under your guidance, we make the corresponding modification in the manuscript. Written consent was obtained from all participants.

3. Please note that the Acknowledgments section should be used to acknowledge anyone who contributed towards the article who does not meet the criteria for authorship including anyone who provided professional writing services or materials. Please note that any sections that are not applicable to your manuscript should still be included with the statement “Not applicable.”

   We are very sorry for the unclear description. Thank you for your useful suggestion very much. Your valuable suggestions are very valuable and helpful for us to improve our paper. Under your guidance, we make the corresponding modification in the manuscript. Acknowledgments: Not applicable.

4. We notice significant text overlap between your manuscript and previously published materials. Please find attached a file containing the iThenticate report for your manuscript. This highlights sections of text which overlap with previous publications. Please reformulate the large sections of highlighted text present in your Methods section and subsections. Please understand that we cannot continue with processing your manuscript until this has been completed.

   We are very sorry for the problem. Thank you for your valuable suggestion very much. Your valuable suggestions are very valuable and helpful for us to improve our paper. Under your guidance, we make the corresponding modification all through the manuscript.

5. When submitting your revised manuscript please ensure you do so as a single clean copy without any tracked changes, colored or highlighted text, as these are no longer required at this stage of the editorial process.
We are very sorry for the problem. Thank you for your kind suggestion very much. Your valuable suggestions are very valuable and helpful for us to improve our paper. Under your guidance, we make the corresponding modification.

We have to apologize for giving you so many troubles. Your comments and suggestions give us not only the great help in revising the article, but also the significant revelation in our scientific research. Your kind guidance is our good luck. We wonder if the modification could meet your requirements.

Thank you and best regards.