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Reviewer's report:
This is a well conducted qualitative study aiming to determine views of Hispanic patients on end of life care and discussions. The findings are interesting but not novel. As with all such research, the findings are only of interest if they can be transposed from the small population studied to the wider patient community. To be able to do this, more information is needed about the population studied - particularly the following information

1. Are prognostic discussions held with patients and families routinely? There is good evidence that if this does not happen, patients will demand more interventional treatment. Indeed, if these discussions are not held, it could be argued that findings need reinterpreting
2. What is ethnic mix of doctors/nurses? They will also have cultural beliefs which will influence nature of such discussions
3. What is ethnic mix of centre dialysis population? Is there evidence of different rates of prognosis discussions, uptake of advance care planning depending on ethnicity?

Are the methods appropriate and well described?
If not, please specify what is required in your comments to the authors.
Yes

Does the work include the necessary controls?
If not, please specify which controls are required in your comments to the authors.
Yes
Are the conclusions drawn adequately supported by the data shown?
If not, please explain in your comments to the authors.
Yes

Are you able to assess any statistics in the manuscript or would you recommend an additional statistical review?
If an additional statistical review is recommended, please specify what aspects require further assessment in your comments to the editors.
Not relevant to this manuscript

Quality of written English
Please indicate the quality of language in the manuscript:
Acceptable
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