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Reviewer's report:

The paper is very interesting but I believe there are some point requiring further explanation.

The research strategy included only the terms 'adherence' and 'end stage kidney disease'.

I believe that one more term must be added: "patient engagement".

Patient engagement means patient involvement in the decision-making process in matters pertaining to health. So the adherence comes from patient participation to the health.

The second minor point is the adherence to the diet not in dialysis.

The management of chronic diseases is complex and probably adherence to drug therapy can be considered very similar to adherence to the diet.

It would be interesting to know if there are differences between adherence to therapy and diet.

One more point: is adherence better in patients treated in multidisciplinary teams?

The authors discussed the point, but I believe it would be worth discussing more closely the relationship between adherence and multidisciplinary team and patient engagement.
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