Reviewer’s report

Title: Internet and social networks users profiles in Renal Transplant Recipient in France

Version: 0 Date: 02 Feb 2017

Reviewer: Marc Clancy

Reviewer's report:

This is an interesting observational and descriptive study of renal transplants patients habits in terms of internet access and social media use.

It provides a simple description of frequency data for these issues and the demographic associations underlying. This is useful confirmatory data to previous studies from Scotland and elsewhere. The methodology is very simplistic but appropriate. The conclusions and discussion are somewhat speculative and a wider coverage of internet and social media-based information, monitoring and intervention is appropriate. This should discuss the efficacy, cost and practical implementation of such interventions

The assertion that hypertension per se causes social isolation in this patient group is not justified by any of the data

A predictive model for lack of internet or social network is not useful since this can easily be assessed by direct question.

Are the methods appropriate and well described?
If not, please specify what is required in your comments to the authors.
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Does the work include the necessary controls?
If not, please specify which controls are required in your comments to the authors.

Unable to assess

Are the conclusions drawn adequately supported by the data shown?
If not, please explain in your comments to the authors.
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Are you able to assess any statistics in the manuscript or would you recommend an additional statistical review?
If an additional statistical review is recommended, please specify what aspects require further assessment in your comments to the editors.
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Quality of written English
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