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BMC Nephrology
Marseille, May 29, 2017

Subject: Revised manuscript BNEP-D-16-00631R1, entitled “Internet and social networks users profiles in Renal Transplant Recipient in France” written by Yosra Mouelhi; Marine Alessandrini; Vanessa Pauly; Bertrand Dussol; Stephanie Gentile.
Dear Editor,

We thank you all for your comments in regards to our revised manuscript BNEP-D-16-00631R1, which we submitted to BMC Nephrology.

Please find enclosed the revised version of our manuscript. We have completely taken in accordance with the pertinent comments you've sent.

For each part of the manuscript and for each change made, we took your comments, and explained the answers.

1. “Please provide clarification on whether any permissions were required, and obtained, in order to use and access the data mentioned in the Methods”.

You’ve absolutely right. According to this comment, we added “Ethics approval and consent to participate” in the section “Methods” (page 7).

The study methodology was approved by the local Institutional Review Board (CCTIRS n°12-726) and the “Comité National Informatique et Liberté” (CNIL n°1639707), thus ensuring the confidentiality of all the collected informations.

Nephrologists explained to patients the study’s goal and design. All patients agreeing to participate signed a written informed consent before their inclusion in the study.
2. “Please consider the list of authors as it currently stands with reference to our guidelines regarding qualification for authorship (http://www.biomedcentral.com/submissions/editorial-policies#authorship)”. 

“Currently, the contributions of authors MA and BD are not clear, and do not automatically qualify them for authorship. Please provide clarification on their contributions, or remove their names from the list of authors and place them in the “Acknowledgements” section instead”.

“Acquisition of funding, collection of data, writing of the manuscript or general supervision of the research group - alone - does not usually justify authorship.”

According to these comments, the list of authors was reconsidered. This was amended in the section “Authors' contribution”.

* BD participated in the conception and the design of the study, contributed to the interpretation of data, has been involved in revising the manuscript critically; and has given final approval of the version to be published.

* MA has been involved in interpreting the data, drafting the manuscript and has given final approval of the version to be published.

3. “Please amend the statement in the "Consent for publication" section”.

“If your manuscript does not contain any individual person’s identifiable data or information, please state “Not applicable” under this section”.

You’ve absolutely right. According to this comment, this was amended in the "Consent for publication" section.
4. “At this stage, please upload your manuscript as a single, final, clean version that does not contain any tracked changes, comments, highlights, strikethroughs or text in different colours. All relevant tables/figures/additional files should also be clean versions. Figures (and additional files) should remain uploaded as separate files”.

According to this comment, the final and clean version of the manuscript has been submitted.

We hope that this revised version meets your expectations, and we remain at your disposal for any further suggestions.

For all co-authors,

Yosra Mouelhi