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Prevalence and determinants of chronic kidney disease in rural and urban Cameroonians: A cross-sectional study
Response to reviewer’s comments

Dear Editor,

We are once more grateful to the reviewers for their time and important comments on our manuscript. We also appreciate the invitation and the advice from the editorial office to submit a revised version of our paper.

In line with the editor’s advice, the manuscript has been edited by a native-English speaker with scientific expertise, whereas additions in the revised document are always indicated in a red colour. We provide below a point-by-point response detailing how we addressed each suggestion from the reviewers.

We look forward to the outcome of your assessment.

For the co-authors,

Dr Kaze

Minor revisions

Line 50: Suggest ?may be 3-4 times more frequent? rather than ?seems to be at least 3-4 times more frequent?
Our answer: This has been changed as suggested.

-Line 59: This reads as if the non-optimal definition is the KDIGO classification. Suggest rewording to something like ?non-optimal definition of CKD using a single measurement, against 2012 KDIGO guidelines, which suggest at least two measurements 3 months apart?
Our answer: This has been changed as suggested.
Line 77: ?handicap? should be ?disability? or ?impairment?  
Our answer: This has been changed as suggested.

Line 103: Remove; the data on reasons for refusal are presented later (line 175)  
Our answer: This has been changed as suggested.

Lines 135/138: Albumin is misspelled  
Our answer: This has been corrected.

Lines 159-161: Do not need Windows or the SAS procedures listed  
Our answer: This has been changed as suggested.

Street medications: term is fine but suggest adding the description (as given in the reviewer responses) where they are first mentioned in the paper (line 114)  
Our answer: This has been changed as suggested.