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Reviewer's report:

Minor changes should be done:
Page 8, lane49:
Please correct "pathogenic Analysis" for "Pathogenicity analysis"
Page 9, lane1:
I believe there is no need to repeat "Details of pathogenic analysis are provided in Supplementary material." Again and a short reference should be enough: "(Supp material)

Table 2: "…mentioned families." is not a title for a table. It could be replaced by "…studied families"

Reference to Table2 could be done in page 8 (lane1) and no need to write a solitary sentence like in page 9, lane 21.

Supplementary material for pathogenicity analysis should be revised and made friendly. If this is the result of a web site search, a link should be enough, but the Results for Polyphen prediction, SIFT and Mutation Taster prediction should be given. When a novel pathogenic variant is described, an analysis of the pathogenicity should be done. For a study like this, a simple analysis of different predictors should be enough.
Are the methods appropriate and well described?  
If not, please specify what is required in your comments to the authors.

No

Does the work include the necessary controls?  
If not, please specify which controls are required in your comments to the authors.

Yes

Are the conclusions drawn adequately supported by the data shown?  
If not, please explain in your comments to the authors.

Yes

Are you able to assess any statistics in the manuscript or would you recommend an additional statistical review?  
If an additional statistical review is recommended, please specify what aspects require further assessment in your comments to the editors.

Not relevant to this manuscript

Quality of written English  
Please indicate the quality of language in the manuscript:

Acceptable

Declaration of competing interests  
Please complete a declaration of competing interests, considering the following questions:

1. Have you in the past five years received reimbursements, fees, funding, or salary from an organisation that may in any way gain or lose financially from the publication of this manuscript, either now or in the future?
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If you can answer no to all of the above, write 'I declare that I have no competing interests' below. If your reply is yes to any, please give details below.
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