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Reviewer's report:

This work is interesting for the clinical-genetic aspect of the syndrome analysed, Wolfram. It is friendly and easy to read and should be published as it is an interesting contribution to the research of this rare syndrome.

The following are some minor suggestions:

Page 5, Lane 27: The term "Touch down" should be specified or described, with a reference or in supplementary material, as well as marker amplification M&M.

Page 5, Lane 49: Reference to Figure1 should be done before, when first referred to pedigrees.

Page 8, Lane 45: "to be" is duplicated

Page 9, lane 5: Detail of pathogenic analysis should be given, with results of each simulation analysis performed. Could be done in supplementary material.

Page 9, lane54: Sentence grammar should be revised

I find information about molecular analysis in page 5 and afterwards, in page8, you are back with "Molecular study". If the work is not described with M&M and results sections, the information given in page 8, should be integrated with the introduction given before. I think this will help in the reading.

Are the methods appropriate and well described?
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Does the work include the necessary controls?
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Are the conclusions drawn adequately supported by the data shown?
If not, please explain in your comments to the authors.
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Are you able to assess any statistics in the manuscript or would you recommend an additional statistical review?
If an additional statistical review is recommended, please specify what aspects require further assessment in your comments to the editors.
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