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Author’s response to reviews:

Dear Editor,

Thank you for your efficient work in processing our manuscript. We have carefully reviewed your remarks and here present point-to-point response below. Many thanks for your processing.

1. It has come to our attention that within your manuscript there is significant text overlap with other publications, particularly:


While we understand that you may wish to express some of the same ideas contained in these publications, please be aware that we cannot condone the use of text from previously published work. Please re-phrase this section in your own words to avoid direct overlap (please note, we cannot accept direct textual overlap with any previously published works even if the authors of the work are yourselves). Please be informed that we cannot proceed with handling your manuscript before this issue is resolved, and the sections of text in question have been reformulated.

Response: We have revised the manuscript to avoid overlap. Thank you for your comments.

2. Please note that all manuscripts must contain all the following sections under the heading 'Declarations'. The Declarations should follow the Conclusions section, and before the References.
• Abbreviations
• Ethics approval and consent to participate
• Consent for publication
• Availability of data and material
• Competing interests
• Funding
• Authors' contributions
• Acknowledgements

If the information required is already provided in the main manuscript, please also copy the relevant statements to the Declarations.

If any of the sections are not relevant to your manuscript, please include the heading and write 'Not applicable' for that section.

Response: We have added the section in the revised manuscript. Thank you for your comments.

3. Please format your abstract under the following headings:
   • Background
   • Methods
   • Results
   • Conclusions

4. Please rename:
   Introduction to Background
   Materials and Methods to Methods

5. Please add a “Conclusions” section after the “Discussion” section. This should state clearly the main conclusions of the research article and give a clear explanation of their importance and relevance.

Response: We have revised all points above in the manuscript. Thank you for your comments.

Thank you for your consideration. If there is anything else we should do, please do not hesitate to let us know.

Best,
Dr. Jianqing Wang
Corresponding author