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Author’s response to reviews:

Dear Dr. Kent Lai,

Thank you for the letter regarding your suggestions concerning our manuscript entitled “Clinical and whole-exome sequencing findings in two siblings from Hani ethnic minority with congenital glycosylation disorders (MGTC-D-19-00057R2)”. Suggestions are valuable to us and we have revised the manuscript accordingly.

We hope this revised manuscript is now suitable for publication.
Your advice is greatly appreciated.

Sincerely,
Zhen Zhang

Department of Human Anatomy, Zhongshan School of Medicine, Sun Yat-sen University, Guangzhou, P. R. China
E-mail: 2218458245@qq.com.

Below is our response to suggestions.
1. “Corresponding author email. While you have listed the corresponding authors emails on the title page of your manuscript (hewenji@etyy.cn and 2218458245@qq.com), this email is not the same as that listed in the submission system (952259505@qq.com). Please edit the entry in the submission system to the email: 2218458245@qq.com.”
Response: We edited the entry in the submission system to the email: 2218458245@qq.com. The 952259505@qq.com is Zhen Zhang’s email.

2. “Role of funding bodies. Please provide more details regarding the specific role of each of the funding bodies in your study. If the funding bodies only provided the financial means to allow the authors to carry out the study, please state “The funding bodies played no role in the design of the study and collection, analysis, and interpretation of data and in writing the manuscript” and include this statement in the Funding section of your manuscript.
However, if each of the funding bodies took part in the design of the study and collection, analysis, and interpretation of data, and the writing of the manuscript, this must be stated in the Competing Interests section of your manuscript.”
Response: we added “The funding bodies played no role in the design of the study and collection, analysis, and interpretation of data and in writing the manuscript”

3. “Figure legends heading Please include a “Figure Legends” heading above the list of figure legends on page 13 of your manuscript.”
Response: we added the “Figure Legends” and the “table legend “heading.

4. ”At this stage, please upload your manuscript as a single, final, clean version that does not contain any tracked changes, comments, highlights, strikethroughs or text in different colours. All relevant tables/figures/additional files should also be clean versions. Should you wish to respond to these revision requests, please put your responses to the reviewers/editors’ comments in the Response to Reviewers box in Editorial Manager. Please do not upload a separate letter.”
Response: the manuscript and the table was clean version.